

Docket No.: 6195-0074-2

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
A
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

GAY ANN SPAHN (703) 413-3000 GSPAHN@OBLON.COM \*BAR OTHER THAN VIRGINIA

## ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/531,497

Applicants: Yusuke NEMOTO Filing Date: March 21, 2000

For: PRINTER
Group Art Unit: 2854
Examiner: Minh H. Chau

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing is the following paper:

## PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME (1 MONTH)

Our check in the amount of \$110.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted document, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the above-listed document timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Attorney of Record


Registration No. 25,599

Gay Ann Spahn

Registration No. 34,978

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)



#10 1-24-02

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Yusuke NEMOTO

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2854

SERIAL NO: 09/531,497

FILED:

March 21, 2000

: EXAMINER:

Minh H. Chau

FOR:

PRINTER

## **PROVISIONAL ELECTION OF SPECIES**

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

DEAR SIR:

In response to the Election of Species requirement dated December 4, 2001, Applicants provisionally elect with traverse Species of Figure 1 as readable on Claims 2, 3, 10, 11 and 12.

Applicants respectfully traverse the election requirement for several reasons.

First, the outstanding Official Action merely includes the conclusory statement that "the application contains claims directed to ... patentably distinct species ..." without stating any basis whatsoever in support of such a finding. This is contrary to MPEP §816, which states:

MPEP §816

The particular reasons relied on by the examiner for holding the inventions as claimed are either independent or distinct should be concisely stated. A mere statement of conclusion is inadequate. The reasons upon which the conclusion is based should be given. . . .

In the absence of any annunciated basis, it is respectfully submitted that the PTO clearly has not carried forward its burden of proof to establish distinctness.

Secondly, MPEP § 806.04(f) requires:

MPEP § 806.04(f)

Claims to be restricted to different species must be mutually exclusive. . .

The outstanding Official Action fails to address in any way whether the pending claims recite mutually exclusive characteristics and this failure provides a further basis for traversing the election requirement.

Finally, MPEP § 803 states:

MPEP § 803

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be part of an overlapping search area.

Accordingly, Applicants also respectfully traverse the outstanding Election requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

In this particular case, since Applicants are at a loss as to the basis of the Election Requirement, in view of the fact that the Requirement does not state any analysis on how the species were decided, Applicants respectfully request that the requirement be withdrawn, or at the very least, that a new Official Action be mailed stating the basis for the Election.

Requirement and remedying the deficiencies above-noted, so that Applicants can then appropriately respond on the merits of the requirement.

Respectfully submitted, OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Gregory J. Maier Registration No. 25,599 Attorney of Record Gay Ann Spahn Registration No. 34,978

Tel. No.: (703) 413-3000; Fax No.: (703) 413-2220; and

E-mail Address: gspahn@oblon.com

GJM:GAS:ycs

I:\atty\gas\Client 06195\Matter 0074\SpeciesElection.Election.wpd